IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
ELKINS

LARRY DEWAYNE SCOTT,

Plaintiff,

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:12-CV-68

(BAILEY)

TAMMY L. CHIPPS, Dentist, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge David J. Joel. By Local Rule, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Joel for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Joel filed his R&R on September 21, 2012 [Doc. 7]. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommended that this Court dismiss the plaintiff's complaint [Doc. 1] without prejudice for failure to exhaust administrative remedies and dismiss the plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* [Doc. 2] as moot.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo*

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Joel's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

The docket reflects that service was accepted on September 24, 2012 [Doc. 8]. To date,

no objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the report and

recommendation for clear error.

Upon careful review of the report and recommendation, it is the opinion of this Court

that the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. 7] should be, and is, hereby

ORDERED ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report.

As such, this Court hereby **DENIES** as moot the petitioner's Motion for Leave to Proceed

in forma pauperis [Doc. 2], and DISMISSES this matter without prejudice. Therefore, this

matter is hereby **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the active docket of this Court. The Clerk

is directed to enter a separate judgment in favor of the respondent.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the *pro* se petitioner.

DATED: November 8, 2012.

JOHN PRESTON BAILE

CHIÈF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE